

Diversity Monitoring Report – Summary

Reporting period: 01/10/2010 – 30/09/2011
(Please note: Statistical report follows on from this summary)

1) Introduction

This report focuses on any apparent differences of Proposals, Bail/RIC and Orders, Order Terminations and DRR Terminations for offenders who come under one of the categories of protected characteristics

Firstly, focusing on the characteristics of the Thames Valley population as a whole in comparison to the National population. Thames Valley has a slightly higher proportion of men (0.5% greater), and a lower White: British contingent (2% less). The White Other population is also higher (1.2%). The overall age range of Thames Valley is slightly younger in comparison to the rest of the country (1.3% more 0-15 year olds, 1% more 16-64 and 2.3% fewer over 65s). Please see appendices for the full table.

The proportion of female offenders has increased slightly over the past two years. The number has remained broadly the same, but the number of male offenders has gone down. Approximately 11% fewer male offenders, compared with two years ago. This is a steady decrease. Looking at caseloads (not commencements), the percentage of females has now increased from 8.9% to 9.7% over the last 12 months. Females, however on average receive shorter sentences – 77% get 12 months or less (both Community & Custody order types), whereas for males it's 62%. There is a disproportionately higher number of PSRs prepared on female offenders; in the last 12 months, 14%. Please see appendices for the full table.

A question was also raised recently regarding the tiering of offenders; if there are any discrepancies between the race groups. Looking at commencements over the past 12 months (n=6,464), the Tier 1 caseload is 36% (stand-alone unpaid work caseload being around a third – 35%). However, when this is broken down by race and ethnicity there are some big differences. For instance, 53% of White Other offenders are Tier 1, as are 45% of Asian offenders. Mixed Race and White British offenders are tiered higher than all other groups; 57% of Mixed Race offenders are tiered at either 3 or 4, and 52% of White British, whereas for Asian offenders it's 37% and 34% of White Other. This can be partly attributed to White Other and Asian offenders more frequently receiving stand-alone UPW, 47% and 39% respectively, compared to 34% overall. This is also reflected in proposals, but not quite as markedly – 29% of Asian offenders and 28% of White Other, compared to an overall of 22%.

2) All Thames Valley

i. Caseload:

- Current caseload is 6,583 offenders.
- Race & Ethnicity: 66% of caseload are White British, 7.1% White Other, 9.1% Asian (which now includes Chinese), 8.5% Black and 4.5% Mixed. 4.8% are either not recorded, refused to disclose or have said 'Other'.
- Age groups: almost a third of offenders (32.8%) are aged between 18 and 25, and almost the same proportion are between 26-35 (32.1%). It then decreases to 20.2% aged 36-45 and then down to just 10.2% between 46 and 55. A further 4.3% are above 56.
- Gender: Overall, 90.3% of the caseload is male and 9.7% female.
- Disability status: 17.3% of offenders consider themselves to have a disability, and 77.5% do not. A further 5.1% (339 offenders) do not have this information recorded.
- Sexual orientation: The data held is still relatively low but is increasing. We now have 31% of sexual orientation recorded, and 69% either 'Not Recorded' or 'Not Disclosed'.
- Religion: We now have 28.4% recorded, compared with last quarter's 18%.

ii. Proposals

- Overall, 70% of offenders are proposed a Community Order, 7% SSO and 9% custody. (The rest are either No Proposal/Fine/Other/etc). As previously mentioned, a much higher percentage of both Asian and White Other offenders are proposed stand-alone UPW – 29% and 28% respectively, compared with 22% overall. Black offenders are proposed custody more frequently – 11% of the time compared with 9% overall.
- The older an offender, the more likely to receive a proposal of a Community Order, and less likely to receive custody.
- There are quite large differences between males and females; females are less likely to be proposed custody or an SSO, and more likely to be proposed stand-alone Supervision;
- Offenders with a disability are less likely to be proposed stand-alone UPW and more likely to be proposed stand-alone supervision. They are, however, slightly more frequently proposed custody.
- 34% of reports written in the last 12 months have a sexual orientation recorded as 'heterosexual'.
- In terms of religion, 11% of the reports written were for offenders who had classified their religion as Christian, but the other groups are still too low Muslim 3%, Sikh 1%, all others 0% other than No Religion, which makes up 15%. The rest are recorded as Not Disclosed or are just not recorded.

iii. Bail/RIC

- Overall, 90% of offenders are granted bail. This happens slightly less of the time for Asian and Black offenders, both being remanded in custody 13% of the time.
- The older an offender, the less often they are remanded in custody.
- Females are less frequently remanded in custody at just 6% of the time.
- As before, there is not enough data to analyze sexual orientation or religion.

iv. Community Order/SSO Terminations:

- The baseline target for this measure is 70% and TVP is currently at 66.9% (not including licences) - currently 30th in the country.
- Black offenders have a good success rate (69.2%).
- Offenders in the age groups 18-25 and 26-35 have a poor success rate, 60.3% and 64.5% respectively, and represent 69% of terminations in the past 12 months.
- Females have a good success rate – 71.8% - (licences not included).
- There is little difference in successfully completing an order when looking at the disability status. However, offenders with no disability are slightly more successful overall – 67.4% compared to 65.8% with a disability.
- The volume of data is still too small to really analyze sexual orientation or religion.

v. DRR Terminations:

- The target for this measure is 45%, and performance is 50.5%. - 20th in the country.
- Black offenders are much more successful at completing their DRR 72.4%.
- All age ranges surpass the percentage required to meet the target, however, the older an offender the higher the success rate.
- Female offenders are less successful overall than males, at 47% compared to 51.5% of males. Females account for over a fifth of our DRRs.
- Offenders with a disability more often complete their DRR successfully – 57.5% compared to 47.2% respectively.

Appendices

Thames Valley/National Demographics


Group	Population		Offenders (Probation)
	Thames Valley	National (England & Wales)	Thames Valley
Male	49.7%	49.2%	90.3%
Female	50.3%	50.8%	9.7%
White: British			
White: British	81.3%	83.3%	66.0%
White: Other	5.9%	4.6%	7.1%
Asian	6.5%	5.9%	9.1%
Black	2.5%	2.8%	8.5%
Mixed	2.0%	1.8%	4.5%
Other (Inc. Chinese)	1.8%	1.6%	4.9%
Age			
0-15	20.0%	18.7%	0.0%
16-64	62.8%	61.8%	98.8%
65+	17.2%	19.5%	1.2%

Male/Female Sentence Lengths


Length	Female	Male	Difference
<=6	9.2%	6.9%	-2.3%
7-12	68.1%	55.3%	-12.9%
13-18	9.5%	12.3%	2.8%
19-24	9.0%	14.8%	5.9%
>=25	4.2%	10.7%	6.5%
Grand Total	100.0%	100.0%	

Tiering amongst offenders by Race & Ethnicity

Race/Ethnicity	01	02	03	04
MIXED	30%	13%	46%	11%
WHITE: BRITISH	33%	15%	42%	10%
REFUSED/OTHER	39%	17%	36%	8%
BLACK	39%	16%	36%	9%
ASIAN	45%	18%	32%	5%
WHITE: OTHER	53%	13%	30%	5%
Grand Total	36%	15%	40%	9%



Information analysis by Mark Searle, PIU.



Diversity Monitoring Report

Period: 01/10/2010 - 30/09/2011

Total	
Caseload	6,583
Proposals	7,116
Bail/RIC	7,116
Order Terminations	6,269
DRR Terminations	615

Please note, each report will show a count of orders/reports/DRRs, not people.

Abbreviations key:

DRR - Drug Rehabilitation Requirement

RIC - Remand In Custody

SSO - Suspended Sentence Order

UPW - Unpaid Work (Community Payback)

Current Caseload

Period: 01/10/2010 - 30/09/2011

Total	6,583
--------------	--------------

Race Group	Caseload	%
ASIAN	598	9.1%
BLACK	558	8.5%
MIXED	297	4.5%
NOT RECORDED	111	1.7%
REFUSED/OTHER	208	3.2%
WHITE: BRITISH	4,345	66.0%
WHITE: OTHER	466	7.1%
Total	6,583	

This report shows the current caseload broken down by each of the diversity criteria. This allows a comparison against the other measures in this report.

Age Group	Caseload	%
Under 18	30	0.5%
18-25	2,156	32.8%
26-35	2,114	32.1%
36-45	1,329	20.2%
46-55	671	10.2%
56-65	201	3.1%
Over 65	82	1.2%
Total	6,583	

Gender	Caseload	%
Male	5,943	90.3%
Female	640	9.7%
Total	6,583	

Disability Status	Caseload	%
Has Disability	1,141	17.3%
No Disability	5,103	77.5%
Not Recorded	339	5.1%
Total	6,583	

Sexual Orientation	Caseload	%
Heterosexual/straight	1,987	30.2%
Gay/Lesbian	29	0.4%
Bisexual	13	0.2%
Not Disclosed	1,171	17.8%
Not Recorded	3,374	51.3%
Other	9	0.1%
Total	6,583	

Religion	Caseload	%
Christian	654	9.9%
Buddhist	12	0.2%
Hindu	12	0.2%
Jewish	2	0.0%
Muslim	196	3.0%
Sikh	44	0.7%
No Religion	869	13.2%
Not Disclosed	1,205	18.3%
Not Recorded	3,507	53.3%
Other	82	1.2%
Total	6,583	

Proposals

Period: 01/10/2010 - 30/09/2011

This report shows a count of all proposals made in the given period, broken down by each of the diversity criteria. It is then further broken down in to Standalone UPW, Standalone Supervision and Other (which encompasses all proposals other than standalone UPW and Supervision).

Total	7,116
--------------	--------------

Race Group	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
ASIAN	8	428	53	55	13	1	20	578	169	19	390	578	8%
BLACK	9	321	54	35	14	2	41	476	116	18	342	476	7%
MIXED	4	179	31	23	7	1	31	276	58	6	212	276	4%
NOT RECORDED	17	253	25	18	8	2	18	341	60	6	275	341	5%
REFUSED/OTHER	9	76	16	10	2	4	5	122	23	5	94	122	2%
WHITE: BRITISH	113	3,354	403	338	139	54	419	4,820	976	209	3,635	4,820	68%
WHITE: OTHER	17	361	36	25	13	7	44	503	140	24	339	503	7%
Total	177	4,972	618	504	196	71	578	7,116	1,542	287	5,287	7,116	100%

Age Group	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
18-25	54	1,778	255	215	70	27	256	2,655	548	74	2,033	2,655	37%
26-35	49	1,588	183	149	64	25	210	2,268	513	83	1,672	2,268	32%
36-45	29	981	103	73	44	9	82	1,321	276	76	969	1,321	19%
46-55	29	469	47	45	11	9	19	629	156	39	434	629	9%
56-65	11	116	21	19	6	0	10	183	43	10	130	183	3%
Over 65	5	40	9	3	1	1	1	60	6	5	49	60	1%
Total	177	4,972	618	504	196	71	578	7,116	1,542	287	5,287	7,116	100%

Gender	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Male	135	4,219	580	452	155	55	503	6,099	1,341	218	4,540	6,099	86%
Female	42	753	38	52	41	16	75	1,017	201	69	747	1,017	14%
Total	177	4,972	618	504	196	71	578	7,116	1,542	287	5,287	7,116	100%

Disability Status	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Has Disability	34	840	132	89	30	17	86	1,228	174	87	967	1,228	17%
No Disability	94	3,516	427	378	126	37	452	5,030	1,215	182	3,633	5,030	71%
Not Recorded	49	616	59	37	40	17	40	858	153	18	687	858	12%
Total	177	4,972	618	504	196	71	578	7,116	1,542	287	5,287	7,116	100%

Sexual Orientation	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Heterosexual/straight	52	1,819	148	158	56	14	152	2,399	648	89	1,662	2,399	34%
Gay/Lesbian	0	21	4	4	0	0	0	29	7	1	21	29	0%
Bisexual	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	13	4	1	8	13	0%
Not Disclosed	14	743	146	107	16	10	49	1,085	159	72	854	1,085	15%
Not Recorded	111	2,368	320	234	124	47	375	3,579	721	123	2,735	3,579	50%
Other	0	9	0	1	0	0	1	11	3	1	7	11	0%
Total	177	4,972	618	504	196	71	578	7,116	1,542	287	5,287	7,116	100%

Religion	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Christian	20	623	53	34	12	3	31	776	220	35	521	776	11%
Buddhist	0	9	4	1	0	0	0	14	4	1	9	14	0%
Hindu	0	15	0	3	1	0	0	19	9	1	9	19	0%
Jewish	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	3	0%
Muslim	4	155	19	19	3	1	10	211	62	8	141	211	3%
Sikh	0	40	4	4	1	0	1	50	18	2	30	50	1%
No Religion	20	817	58	86	21	8	56	1,066	283	44	739	1,066	15%
Not Disclosed	14	767	137	103	18	9	61	1,109	156	66	887	1,109	16%
Not Recorded	114	2,469	338	245	138	48	410	3,762	763	129	2,870	3,762	53%
Other	5	74	5	9	2	2	9	106	24	1	81	106	1%
Total	177	4,972	618	504	196	71	578	7,116	1,542	287	5,287	7,116	100%

Race Group	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
ASIAN	1.4%	74.0%	9.2%	9.5%	2.2%	0.2%	3.5%	100.0%	29.2%	3.3%	67.5%	100.0%	8%
BLACK	1.9%	67.4%	11.3%	7.4%	2.9%	0.4%	8.6%	100.0%	24.4%	3.8%	71.8%	100.0%	7%
MIXED	1.4%	64.9%	11.2%	8.3%	2.5%	0.4%	11.2%	100.0%	21.0%	2.2%	76.8%	100.0%	4%
NOT RECORDED	5.0%	74.2%	7.3%	5.3%	2.3%	0.6%	5.3%	100.0%	17.6%	1.8%	80.6%	100.0%	5%
REFUSED/OTHER	7.4%	62.3%	13.1%	8.2%	1.6%	3.3%	4.1%	100.0%	18.9%	4.1%	77.0%	100.0%	2%
WHITE: BRITISH	2.3%	69.6%	8.4%	7.0%	2.9%	1.1%	8.7%	100.0%	20.2%	4.3%	75.4%	100.0%	68%
WHITE: OTHER	3.4%	71.8%	7.2%	5.0%	2.6%	1.4%	8.7%	100.0%	27.8%	4.8%	67.4%	100.0%	7%
Total	2.5%	69.9%	8.7%	7.1%	2.8%	1.0%	8.1%	100.0%	21.7%	4.0%	74.3%	100.0%	100%

Age Group	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Under 18	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
18-25	2.0%	67.0%	9.6%	8.1%	2.6%	1.0%	9.6%	100.0%	20.6%	2.8%	76.6%	100.0%	37%
26-35	2.2%	70.0%	8.1%	6.6%	2.8%	1.1%	9.3%	100.0%	22.6%	3.7%	73.7%	100.0%	32%
36-45	2.2%	74.3%	7.8%	5.5%	3.3%	0.7%	6.2%	100.0%	20.9%	5.8%	73.4%	100.0%	19%
46-55	4.6%	74.6%	7.5%	7.2%	1.7%	1.4%	3.0%	100.0%	24.8%	6.2%	69.0%	100.0%	9%
56-65	6.0%	63.4%	11.5%	10.4%	3.3%	0.0%	5.5%	100.0%	23.5%	5.5%	71.0%	100.0%	3%
Over 65	8.3%	66.7%	15.0%	5.0%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	100.0%	10.0%	8.3%	81.7%	100.0%	1%
Total	2.5%	69.9%	8.7%	7.1%	2.8%	1.0%	8.1%	100.0%	21.7%	4.0%	74.3%	100.0%	100%

Gender	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Male	2.2%	69.2%	9.5%	7.4%	2.5%	0.9%	8.2%	100.0%	22.0%	3.6%	74.4%	100.0%	86%
Female	4.1%	74.0%	3.7%	5.1%	4.0%	1.6%	7.4%	100.0%	19.8%	6.8%	73.5%	100.0%	14%
Total	2.5%	69.9%	8.7%	7.1%	2.8%	1.0%	8.1%	100.0%	21.7%	4.0%	74.3%	100.0%	100%

Disability Status	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Has Disability	2.8%	68.4%	10.7%	7.2%	2.4%	1.4%	7.0%	100.0%	14.2%	7.1%	78.7%	100.0%	17%
No Disability	1.9%	69.9%	8.5%	7.5%	2.5%	0.7%	9.0%	100.0%	24.2%	3.6%	72.2%	100.0%	71%
Not Recorded	5.7%	71.8%	6.9%	4.3%	4.7%	2.0%	4.7%	100.0%	17.8%	2.1%	80.1%	100.0%	12%
Total	2.5%	69.9%	8.7%	7.1%	2.8%	1.0%	8.1%	100.0%	21.7%	4.0%	74.3%	100.0%	100%

Sexual Orientation	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Heterosexual/straight	2.2%	75.8%	6.2%	6.6%	2.3%	0.6%	6.3%	100.0%	27.0%	3.7%	69.3%	100.0%	34%
Gay/Lesbian	0.0%	72.4%	13.8%	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	24.1%	3.4%	72.4%	100.0%	0%
Bisexual	0.0%	92.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	100.0%	30.8%	7.7%	61.5%	100.0%	0%
Not Disclosed	1.3%	68.5%	13.5%	9.9%	1.5%	0.9%	4.5%	100.0%	14.7%	6.6%	78.7%	100.0%	15%
Not Recorded	3.1%	66.2%	8.9%	6.5%	3.5%	1.3%	10.5%	100.0%	20.1%	3.4%	76.4%	100.0%	50%
Other	0.0%	81.8%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	100.0%	27.3%	9.1%	63.6%	100.0%	0%
Total	2.5%	69.9%	8.7%	7.1%	2.8%	1.0%	8.1%	100.0%	21.7%	4.0%	74.3%	100.0%	100%

Religion	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	No Proposal	No Report	Other	Total	Standalone UPW	Standalone Supervision	Other	Total	% Represented
Christian	2.6%	80.3%	6.8%	4.4%	1.5%	0.4%	4.0%	100.0%	28.4%	4.5%	67.1%	100.0%	11%
Buddhist	0.0%	64.3%	28.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	28.6%	7.1%	64.3%	100.0%	0%
Hindu	0.0%	78.9%	0.0%	15.8%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	47.4%	5.3%	47.4%	100.0%	0%
Jewish	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Muslim	1.9%	73.5%	9.0%	9.0%	1.4%	0.5%	4.7%	100.0%	29.4%	3.8%	66.8%	100.0%	3%
Sikh	0.0%	80.0%	8.0%	8.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	100.0%	36.0%	4.0%	60.0%	100.0%	1%
No Religion	1.9%	76.6%	5.4%	8.1%	2.0%	0.8%	5.3%	100.0%	26.5%	4.1%	69.3%	100.0%	15%
Not Disclosed	1.3%	69.2%	12.4%	9.3%	1.6%	0.8%	5.5%	100.0%	14.1%	6.0%	80.0%	100.0%	16%
Not Recorded	3.0%	65.6%	9.0%	6.5%	3.7%	1.3%	10.9%	100.0%	20.3%	3.4%	76.3%	100.0%	53%
Other	4.7%	69.8%	4.7%	8.5%	1.9%	1.9%	8.5%	100.0%	22.6%	0.9%	76.4%	100.0%	1%
Total	2.5%	69.9%	8.7%	7.1%	2.8%	1.0%	8.1%	100.0%	21.7%	4.0%	74.3%	100.0%	100%

Bail/RIC

Period: 01/10/2010 - 30/09/2011

The report below shows a count of all reports written in the given period, and whether or not the offender was remanded in custody.

Total	7,116
--------------	--------------

Race Group	Bail	RIC	Total	% Represented
ASIAN	504	74	578	8%
BLACK	414	62	476	7%
MIXED	235	41	276	4%
NOT RECORDED	314	27	341	5%
REFUSED/OTHER	98	24	122	2%
WHITE: BRITISH	4,390	430	4,820	68%
WHITE: OTHER	445	58	503	7%
Total	6,400	716	7,116	100%

Race Group	Bail	RIC	Total
ASIAN	87.2%	12.8%	100.0%
BLACK	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%
MIXED	85.1%	14.9%	100.0%
NOT RECORDED	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%
REFUSED/OTHER	80.3%	19.7%	100.0%
WHITE: BRITISH	91.1%	8.9%	100.0%
WHITE: OTHER	88.5%	11.5%	100.0%
Total	89.9%	10.1%	100.0%

Age Group	Bail	RIC	Total	% Represented
Under 18	0	0	0	
18-25	2,346	309	2,655	37%
26-35	2,050	218	2,268	32%
36-45	1,202	119	1,321	19%
46-55	577	52	629	9%
56-65	169	14	183	3%
Over 65	56	4	60	1%
Total	6,400	716	7,116	100%

Age Group	Bail	RIC	Total
Under 18	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18-25	88.4%	11.6%	100.0%
26-35	90.4%	9.6%	100.0%
36-45	91.0%	9.0%	100.0%
46-55	91.7%	8.3%	100.0%
56-65	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%
Over 65	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%
Total	89.9%	10.1%	100.0%

Gender	Bail	RIC	Total	% Represented
Male	5,442	657	6,099	86%
Female	958	59	1,017	14%
Total	6,400	716	7,116	100%

Gender	Bail	RIC	Total
Male	89.2%	10.8%	100.0%
Female	94.2%	5.8%	100.0%
Total	89.9%	10.1%	100.0%

Disability Status	Bail	RIC	Total	% Represented
Has Disability	1,099	129	1,228	17%
No Disability	4,518	512	5,030	71%
Not Recorded	783	75	858	12%
Total	6,400	716	7,116	100%

Disability Status	Bail	RIC	Total
Has Disability	89.5%	10.5%	100.0%
No Disability	89.8%	10.2%	100.0%
Not Recorded	91.3%	8.7%	100.0%
Total	89.9%	10.1%	100.0%

Sexual Orientation	Bail	RIC	Total	% Represented
Heterosexual/straight	2,224	175	2,399	34%
Gay/Lesbian	27	2	29	0%
Bisexual	13	0	13	0%
Not Disclosed	891	194	1,085	15%
Not Recorded	3,234	345	3,579	50%
Other	11	0	11	0%
Total	6,400	716	7,116	100%

Sexual Orientation	Bail	RIC	Total
Heterosexual/straight	92.7%	7.3%	100.0%
Gay/Lesbian	93.1%	6.9%	100.0%
Bisexual	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Not Disclosed	82.1%	17.9%	100.0%
Not Recorded	90.4%	9.6%	100.0%
Other	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total	89.9%	10.1%	100.0%

Religion	Bail	RIC	Total	% Represented
Christian	722	54	776	11%
Buddhist	10	4	14	0%
Hindu	18	1	19	0%
Jewish	3	0	3	0%
Muslim	180	31	211	3%
Sikh	43	7	50	1%
No Religion	1,008	58	1,066	15%
Not Disclosed	916	193	1,109	16%
Not Recorded	3,398	364	3,762	53%
Other	102	4	106	1%
Total	6,400	716	7,116	100%

Religion	Bail	RIC	Total
Christian	93.0%	7.0%	100.0%
Buddhist	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
Hindu	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%
Jewish	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Muslim	85.3%	14.7%	100.0%
Sikh	86.0%	14.0%	100.0%
No Religion	94.6%	5.4%	100.0%
Not Disclosed	82.6%	17.4%	100.0%
Not Recorded	90.3%	9.7%	100.0%
Other	96.2%	3.8%	100.0%
Total	89.9%	10.1%	100.0%

Out of those offenders who were remanded in custody, the data below shows how many received a custodial sentence and how many were given a community sentence, following the remand.

Race Group	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total
ASIAN	0	27	36	5	1	5	0	74
BLACK	0	25	27	8	0	2	0	62
MIXED	1	15	16	7	1	1	0	41
NOT RECORDED	0	9	17	0	1	0	0	27
REFUSED/OTHER	0	13	6	4	1	0	0	24
WHITE: BRITISH	3	158	194	48	9	16	2	430
WHITE: OTHER	0	26	23	5	0	3	1	58
Total	4	273	319	77	13	27	3	716

Race Group	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total	% Represented
ASIAN	0.0%	36.5%	48.6%	6.8%	1.4%	6.8%	0.0%	100.0%	10%
BLACK	0.0%	40.3%	43.5%	12.9%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	100.0%	9%
MIXED	2.4%	36.6%	39.0%	17.1%	2.4%	2.4%	0.0%	100.0%	6%
NOT RECORDED	0.0%	33.3%	63.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4%
REFUSED/OTHER	0.0%	54.2%	25.0%	16.7%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3%
WHITE: BRITISH	0.7%	36.7%	45.1%	11.2%	2.1%	3.7%	0.5%	100.0%	60%
WHITE: OTHER	0.0%	44.8%	39.7%	8.6%	0.0%	5.2%	1.7%	100.0%	8%
Total	0.6%	38.1%	44.6%	10.8%	1.8%	3.8%	0.4%	100.0%	100%

Age Group	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18-25	2	110	140	39	4	12	2	309
26-35	2	93	91	20	5	7	0	218
36-45	0	49	51	10	2	7	0	119
46-55	0	18	25	6	1	1	1	52
56-65	0	3	8	2	1	0	0	14
Over 65	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
Total	4	273	319	77	13	27	3	716

Age Group	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total	% Represented
Under 18	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
18-25	0.6%	35.6%	45.3%	12.6%	1.3%	3.9%	0.6%	100.0%	43%
26-35	0.9%	42.7%	41.7%	9.2%	2.3%	3.2%	0.0%	100.0%	30%
36-45	0.0%	41.2%	42.9%	8.4%	1.7%	5.9%	0.0%	100.0%	17%
46-55	0.0%	34.6%	48.1%	11.5%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	100.0%	7%
56-65	0.0%	21.4%	57.1%	14.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	2%
Over 65	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1%
Total	0.6%	38.1%	44.6%	10.8%	1.8%	3.8%	0.4%	100.0%	100%

Gender	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total
Male	4	249	298	71	10	23	2	657
Female	0	24	21	6	3	4	1	59
Total	4	273	319	77	13	27	3	716

Gender	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total	% Represented
Male	0.6%	37.9%	45.4%	10.8%	1.5%	3.5%	0.3%	100.0%	92%
Female	0.0%	40.7%	35.6%	10.2%	5.1%	6.8%	1.7%	100.0%	8%
Total	0.6%	38.1%	44.6%	10.8%	1.8%	3.8%	0.4%	100.0%	100%

Disability Status	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total
Has Disability	1	45	66	8	3	6	0	129
No Disability	3	202	220	63	8	13	3	512
Not Recorded	0	26	33	6	2	8	0	75
Total	4	273	319	77	13	27	3	716

Disability Status	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total	% Represented
Has Disability	0.8%	34.9%	51.2%	6.2%	2.3%	4.7%	0.0%	100.0%	18%
No Disability	0.6%	39.5%	43.0%	12.3%	1.6%	2.5%	0.6%	100.0%	72%
Not Recorded	0.0%	34.7%	44.0%	8.0%	2.7%	10.7%	0.0%	100.0%	10%
Total	0.6%	38.1%	44.6%	10.8%	1.8%	3.8%	0.4%	100.0%	100%

Sexual Orientation	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total
Heterosexual/straight	1	74	73	18	3	6	0	175
Gay/Lesbian	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Bisexual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Disclosed	1	70	87	27	4	3	2	194
Not Recorded	2	129	158	31	6	18	1	345
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	4	273	319	77	13	27	3	716

Sexual Orientation	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total	% Represented
Heterosexual/straight	0.6%	42.3%	41.7%	10.3%	1.7%	3.4%	0.0%	100.0%	24%
Gay/Lesbian	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Bisexual	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Not Disclosed	0.5%	36.1%	44.8%	13.9%	2.1%	1.5%	1.0%	100.0%	27%
Not Recorded	0.6%	37.4%	45.8%	9.0%	1.7%	5.2%	0.3%	100.0%	48%
Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Total	0.6%	38.1%	44.6%	10.8%	1.8%	3.8%	0.4%	100.0%	100%

Religion	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total
Christian	0	28	24	1	0	1	0	54
Buddhist	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4
Hindu	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Jewish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Muslim	0	13	14	4	0	0	0	31
Sikh	0	2	4	0	0	1	0	7
No Religion	0	26	22	7	1	2	0	58
Not Disclosed	1	71	81	31	5	2	2	193
Not Recorded	3	132	168	33	7	20	1	364
Other	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
Total	4	273	319	77	13	27	3	716

Religion	Fine	Community Order	Custody	SSO	Other	No Proposal	No Report	Total	% Represented
Christian	0.0%	51.9%	44.4%	1.9%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	100.0%	8%
Buddhist	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1%
Hindu	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Jewish	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Muslim	0.0%	41.9%	45.2%	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4%
Sikh	0.0%	28.6%	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	100.0%	1%
No Religion	0.0%	44.8%	37.9%	12.1%	1.7%	3.4%	0.0%	100.0%	8%
Not Disclosed	0.5%	36.8%	42.0%	16.1%	2.6%	1.0%	1.0%	100.0%	27%
Not Recorded	0.8%	36.3%	46.2%	9.1%	1.9%	5.5%	0.3%	100.0%	51%
Other	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1%
Total	0.6%	38.1%	44.6%	10.8%	1.8%	3.8%	0.4%	100.0%	100%

Order Terminations

Period: 01/10/2010 - 30/09/2011


Total	6,269
--------------	--------------

Key			
+	Above	80.0%	
	Between	70.0%	79.9%
	Between	65.0%	69.9%
	Below	65.0%	

All Orders/Licences				
	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total
All Orders/Licences	4,139	1,574	556	6,269

Race Group	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
ASIAN	368	111	56	535	9%
BLACK	313	104	65	482	8%
MIXED	172	84	30	286	5%
NOT RECORDED	9	8	7	24	0%
REFUSED/OTHER	79	19	31	129	2%
WHITE: BRITISH	2,908	1,138	319	4,365	70%
WHITE: OTHER	290	110	48	448	7%
Total	4,139	1,574	556	6,269	100%

Age Group	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Under 18	5	13	4	19	0%
18-25	1,410	668	239	2,317	37%
26-35	1,328	569	155	2,052	33%
36-45	817	235	99	1,151	18%
46-55	426	81	40	547	9%
56-65	120	5	17	142	2%
Over 65	33	3	5	41	1%
Total	4,139	1,574	556	6,269	100%



Gender	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Male	3,586	1,383	507	5,476	87%
Female	553	191	49	793	13%
Total	4,139	1,574	556	6,269	100%

Disability Status	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Has Disability	754	293	111	1,158	18%
No Disability	3,331	1,248	423	5,002	80%
Not Recorded	54	33	22	109	2%
Total	4,139	1,574	556	6,269	100%

Sexual Orientation	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Heterosexual/straight	613	360	91	1,064	17%
Gay/Lesbian	8	4	0	12	0%
Bisexual	1	1	1	3	0%
Not Disclosed	323	336	60	719	11%
Not Recorded	3,193	870	404	4,467	71%
Other	1	3	0	4	0%
Total	4,139	1,574	556	6,269	100%

Religion	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Christian	169	95	23	287	5%
Buddhist	2	5	0	7	0%
Hindu	8	1	0	9	0%
Jewish	0	0	1	1	0%
Muslim	66	36	16	118	2%
Sikh	10	3	1	14	0%
No Religion	249	150	44	443	7%
Not Disclosed	296	339	55	690	11%
Not Recorded	3,313	934	412	4,659	74%
Other	26	11	4	41	1%
Total	4,139	1,574	556	6,269	100%

This report shows a count of all terminations in the given period and whether or not they were successful or not. (The Neutral column consists of those which have been excluded from the count for some reason).

All Orders/Licences						
	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total
All Orders/Licences		72.4%	2.4%	27.6%	8.9%	100.0%

Race Group	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
ASIAN		76.8%	6.8%	23.2%	10.5%	100.0%	9%
BLACK		75.1%	5.1%	24.9%	13.5%	100.0%	8%
MIXED		67.2%	-2.8%	32.8%	10.5%	100.0%	5%
NOT RECORDED		52.9%	-17.1%	47.1%	29.2%	100.0%	0%
REFUSED/OTHER	⊕	80.6%	10.6%	19.4%	24.0%	100.0%	2%
WHITE: BRITISH		71.9%	1.9%	28.1%	7.3%	100.0%	70%
WHITE: OTHER		72.5%	2.5%	27.5%	10.7%	100.0%	7%
Total		72.4%	2.4%	27.6%	8.9%	100.0%	100%

Age Group	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Under 18		27.8%	-42.2%	72.2%	5.3%	100.0%	0%
18-25		67.9%	-2.1%	32.1%	10.3%	100.0%	37%
26-35		70.0%	0.0%	30.0%	7.6%	100.0%	33%
36-45		77.7%	7.7%	22.3%	8.6%	100.0%	18%
46-55	⊕	84.0%	14.0%	16.0%	7.3%	100.0%	9%
56-65	⊕	96.0%	26.0%	4.0%	12.0%	100.0%	2%
Over 65	⊕	91.7%	21.7%	8.3%	12.2%	100.0%	1%
Total		72.4%	2.4%	27.6%	8.9%	100.0%	100%


Gender	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Male		72.2%	2.2%	27.8%	9.3%	100.0%	87%
Female		74.3%	4.3%	25.7%	6.2%	100.0%	13%
Total		72.4%	2.4%	27.6%	8.9%	100.0%	100%

Disability Status	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Has Disability		72.0%	2.0%	28.0%	9.6%	100.0%	18%
No Disability		72.7%	2.7%	27.3%	8.5%	100.0%	80%
Not Recorded		62.1%	-7.9%	37.9%	20.2%	100.0%	2%
Total		72.4%	2.4%	27.6%	8.9%	100.0%	100%


Sexual Orientation	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Heterosexual/straight		63.0%	-7.0%	37.0%	8.6%	100.0%	17%
Gay/Lesbian		66.7%	-3.3%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Bisexual		50.0%	-20.0%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0%
Not Disclosed		49.0%	-21.0%	51.0%	8.3%	100.0%	11%
Not Recorded		78.6%	8.6%	21.4%	9.0%	100.0%	71%
Other		25.0%	-45.0%	75.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Total		72.4%	2.4%	27.6%	8.9%	100.0%	100%

Religion	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Christian		64.0%	-6.0%	36.0%	8.0%	100.0%	5%
Buddhist		28.6%	-41.4%	71.4%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Hindu	⊕	88.9%	18.9%	11.1%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Jewish		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0%
Muslim		64.7%	-5.3%	35.3%	13.6%	100.0%	2%
Sikh		76.9%	6.9%	23.1%	7.1%	100.0%	0%
No Religion		62.4%	-7.6%	37.6%	9.9%	100.0%	7%
Not Disclosed		46.6%	-23.4%	53.4%	8.0%	100.0%	11%
Not Recorded		78.0%	8.0%	22.0%	8.8%	100.0%	74%
Other		70.3%	0.3%	29.7%	9.8%	100.0%	1%
Total		72.4%	2.4%	27.6%	8.9%	100.0%	100%


Completions By Race




Completions By Disability




Completions By Age




Completions By Sexual Orientation



Completions By Gender




Completions By Religion



DRR Terminations

Period: 01/10/2010 - 30/09/2011

Total	615
--------------	------------



Key			
+	Above	55.0%	
	Between	45.0%	54.9%
	Between	40.0%	44.9%
	Below	40.0%	

All DRRs				
	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total
All DRRs	284	278	53	615

Race Group	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
ASIAN	18	19	6	43	7%
BLACK	21	8	1	30	5%
MIXED	17	14	1	32	5%
NOT RECORDED	0	1	1	2	0%
REFUSED/OTHER	4	5	3	12	2%
WHITE: BRITISH	215	217	37	469	76%
WHITE: OTHER	9	14	4	27	4%
Total	284	278	53	615	100%

Age Group	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Under 18	0	0	0	0	
18-25	55	59	11	125	20%
26-35	139	159	25	323	53%
36-45	72	51	14	137	22%
46-55	16	9	3	28	5%
56-65	2	0	0	2	0%
Over 65	0	0	0	0	
Total	284	278	53	615	100%

Gender	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Male	226	213	42	481	78%
Female	58	65	11	134	22%
Total	284	278	53	615	100%

Disability Status	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Has Disability	65	48	7	120	20%
No Disability	214	221	41	476	77%
Not Recorded	5	9	5	19	3%
Total	284	278	53	615	100%

Sexual Orientation	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Heterosexual/straight	72	81	8	161	26%
Gay/Lesbian	1	2	0	3	0%
Bisexual	0	0	0	0	0%
Not Disclosed	44	75	5	124	20%
Not Recorded	167	120	40	327	53%
Other	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	284	278	53	615	100%

Religion	Successful	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Christian	12	18	4	31	5%
Buddhist	0	1	0	1	0%
Hindu	0	0	0	0	0%
Jewish	0	0	0	0	0%
Muslim	6	2	2	10	2%
Sikh	0	0	0	0	0%
No Religion	19	16	4	39	6%
Not Disclosed	47	83	4	134	22%
Not Recorded	200	155	42	397	65%
Other	0	3	0	3	0%
Total	284	278	53	615	100%

This report shows a count of all DRR terminations in the given period and whether they were successful or not. Again, this is by each DRR terminated on ICMS, **not** necessarily how many offenders. (The Neutral column consists of those which have been excluded from the count for some reason).

All DRRs						
	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total
All DRRs		50.5%	5.5%	49.5%	8.6%	100.0%

Race Group	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
ASIAN		48.6%	3.6%	51.4%	14.0%	100.0%	7%
BLACK	★	72.4%	27.4%	27.6%	3.3%	100.0%	5%
MIXED		54.8%	9.8%	45.2%	3.1%	100.0%	5%
NOT RECORDED		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0%
REFUSED/OTHER		44.4%	-0.6%	55.6%	25.0%	100.0%	2%
WHITE: BRITISH		49.8%	4.8%	50.2%	7.9%	100.0%	76%
WHITE: OTHER		39.1%	-5.9%	60.9%	14.8%	100.0%	4%
Total		50.5%	5.5%	49.5%	8.6%	100.0%	100%

Age Group	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Under 18		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0%
18-25		48.2%	3.2%	51.8%	8.8%	100.0%	20%
26-35		46.6%	1.6%	53.4%	7.7%	100.0%	53%
36-45	★	58.5%	13.5%	41.5%	10.2%	100.0%	22%
46-55	★	64.0%	19.0%	36.0%	10.7%	100.0%	5%
56-65	★	100.0%	55.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Over 65		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0%
Total		50.5%	5.5%	49.5%	8.6%	100.0%	100%


Gender	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Male		51.5%	6.5%	48.5%	8.7%	100.0%	78%
Female		47.2%	2.2%	52.8%	8.2%	100.0%	22%
Total		50.5%	5.5%	49.5%	8.6%	100.0%	100%

Disability Status	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Has Disability	★	57.5%	12.5%	42.5%	5.8%	100.0%	20%
No Disability		49.2%	4.2%	50.8%	8.6%	100.0%	77%
Not Recorded		35.7%	-9.3%	64.3%	26.3%	100.0%	3%
Total		50.5%	5.5%	49.5%	8.6%	100.0%	100%


Sexual Orientation	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Heterosexual/straight		47.1%	2.1%	52.9%	5.0%	100.0%	26%
Gay/Lesbian		33.3%	-11.7%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Bisexual		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0%
Not Disclosed		37.0%	-8.0%	63.0%	4.0%	100.0%	20%
Not Recorded	★	58.2%	13.2%	41.8%	12.2%	100.0%	53%
Other		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0%
Total		50.5%	5.5%	49.5%	8.6%	100.0%	100%

Religion	Above/Below	Successful	Difference	Unsuccessful	Neutral	Total	% Represented
Christian		40.0%	-5.0%	60.0%	3.2%	100.0%	5%
Buddhist		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Hindu		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0%
Jewish		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0%
Muslim	★	75.0%	30.0%	25.0%	20.0%	100.0%	2%
Sikh		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0%
No Religion		54.3%	9.3%	45.7%	10.3%	100.0%	6%
Not Disclosed		36.2%	-8.8%	63.8%	3.0%	100.0%	22%
Not Recorded	★	56.3%	11.3%	43.7%	10.6%	100.0%	65%
Other		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0%
Total		50.5%	5.5%	49.5%	8.6%	100.0%	100%


Completions By Race




Completions By Disability




Completions By Age



Completions By Sexual Orientation



Completions By Gender



Completions By Religion

